

Korrekturen im neuen Handbuch SORAG – deutsch

Nach Rücksprache mit der Autorin der Originalversion Prof. Marnie Rice

Weitere Korrekturen zu den Büchern „Violent Offenders“ finden Sie unter

http://www.mhcop.on.ca/Site_Published/internet/SiteContent.aspx?Body.QueryId.Id=2036&LeftNavigation.QueryId.Categories=130

- page 1 - should say 288 sex offenders, not 178

-page 4 – Item 9- Should say “Failure on prior conditional release” rather than “releases”

Page 6- Top- The SORAG predicts ALL violent recidivism, not just sexually motivated violent recidivism, so remove “sexually motivated” in lines 3 and 9.

-Bottom- should give the 7 yr (42%) and 10 yr. (58%) baserates.

Page 7 Should add somewhere in the section under Was mache ich, wenn mir Informationen fehlen?
“the actuarial risk appraisal report should state the substitution that was made”.

Page 8- Item 13: indented line 2 (after “APA, 2003”) should add “ would score +1”

In remainder of indented section: (says: a total score of at least 4 points...) Should say: “4 or 5 is given a SORAG score of +1 for item 13, 1 or 2 scores -1 on the SORAG, a score of 3 should be scored 0 on the SORAG.”

Item 14- Should mention that score on PCL-YV can be used without counting as a substitution. The PCL-SV can be used if prorated to a score out of 40 and then applied in the scoring of item 14 as if it were the PCL-R.

Page 10

Item 1: says: exception: death of one parent

Should say instead “Exception: if one or both parents died.”

“In case of parent death, score as for Yes”

Page 20

Item 7- Says “excluding hands off”. Should say “ including hands off”. Note that this was an error in the second printing of the book and is noted as a correction on our web-site.

Page 22- Should say “as in item 7, both hands-on and hands-off offenses are counted” where it now says “Gegensatz zu Item 7 werden bei diesem Item auch alle „Hands-off“-Delikte berücksichtigt”

Page 25- If DSM differs from ICD, use DSM— no need to mention ICD

- Should say that any substitution should be mentioned in report
- We used only our own (lifetime) diagnosis, not any diagnosis that was ever mentioned on the clinical file. But if you have to use diagnoses on file, only use ones that make sense based on your reading of the file—Sometimes past diagnoses make no sense.

Page 29- Bottom- Report should indicate that a substitution was used

Page 37- - Report should indicate that a substitution was used

Page 40- Should say instead “Exception: if one or both parents died, score as for Yes”

Page 41- Item 4 – Should say “Ever married” instead of “married” . And “never married” instead on “not married” (We can understand why you may have had trouble here- This is a bit confusing in our English version).

-Item 7- Hands-off offenses should be included, not excluded